1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	JAMES HENRY ANDERSON,	Case No. 1:21-cv-01451-SAB (PC)
9	Plaintiff,	
10	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
11	DR. OLGA BEREGOVSKAYA,	(ECF No. 10)
12	Defendant.	
13		) )
14	Plaintiff James Henry Anderson is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
15	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
16	On December 3, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint, found no cognizable claims, and	
17	granted Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 10.) Plaintiff has not responded	
18	to the Court's order and the time to do so has expired. Accordingly, within fourteen (14) days from	
19	the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed for	
20	failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this	
21	order will result a recommendation to dismiss the action for failure to comply with a court order,	
22	failure to prosecute, and failure to state a cognizable claim for relief.	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIZ
25	Dated: <b>January 11, 2022</b>	July N. Lave
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		